



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,201	02/13/2001	Roger D. Wood	06683.0002.NPUS00	7037
718 7590 07/26/2007 REED SMITH LLP P.O. BOX 488 PITTSBURGH, PA 15230-0488			EXAMINER GYORFI, THOMAS A	
			ART UNIT 2135	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/781,201	WOOD, ROGER D.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tom Gyorfi	2135	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tom Gyorfi (Examiner). (3) Cheryl Gastineau (Applicant's representative).  
 (2) Thanhgha Truong (Primary Examiner). (4) Roger Wood (Applicant).

Date of Interview: 18 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6068183 (Freeman), US 6348908 (Richley).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Applicant's representative proposed an amendment to the claims to add limitations to more particularly point out and distinguish the instant invention over the cited prior art references, as well as to suggest how the Freeman reference may teach away from using the particular display technology of the instant invention. Examiner(s) agreed that the proposed amendment, if entered, would be sufficient to overcome at least the Richley reference and be grounds for a new search for prior art..